

Prepared for ASG

NATO SECRET

DRAFT

DPA/67/.....

21st Feb. 67

To: Secretary General

cc: Deputy Secretary General
Directeur du Cabinet

From: ASG for Political Affairs

Subject: Notes on the Forthcoming Council Discussion of
22nd February 1967 on the Future Tasks of the
Alliance

There are in principle four possibilities as to what the Council will do with the draft decision prepared last week. If they would reject the decision, or postpone it, or try to modify it, a new situation would be created which you presumably may wish to consider in the light of the new circumstances. If, on the other hand, the Council accepts the draft decision, you may wish to bear in mind certain procedural points.

2. It is presumed that there will be a general wish to have the Special Group meet as soon as possible. It would not seem that the Council itself will be called upon next Wednesday to give any further directions either on procedure or on substance to the Special Group.

...

3. However, we cannot exclude that one or more Permanent Representatives will ask you to draw up a kind of consolidated paper, amalgamating the ideas expressed in your PO/67/89, with the numerous and wide-ranging ideas expressed in the Council meeting of 15th February.

4. I am inclined to think that such a consolidated paper is unnecessary and, in certain respects, even undesirable. As you will have seen from Mr. Mainland's paper (submitted to you separately) which lists the substantive issues mentioned in the discussion of the 15th February, many of these ideas are mutually contradictory or contradictory to the suggestions contained in your PO. These contradictions are all to the good and may form a stimulating factor in the discussions of the Special Group. By analogy with what happens in the usual ad hoc Experts' Groups, the Special Group could base its work on a number of papers which are already available; ~~and~~^{viz} your PO, the circulated statements of the British, the Belgians and the Germans; and, finally, the Verbatim Record of the 15th February.

5. If, however, the request were made to amalgamate and harmonise these various contradictory ideas in one consolidated paper, much of the impetus for the Group's discussion would be lost. In fact, the first step would be made on the road to an anodyne agreed document of which NATO history has already seen too many.

6. My conclusion is therefore that such a request from Permanent Representatives should be resisted.

7. In these circumstances, you may wish to introduce the discussion of tomorrow by a simple statement, the text of which is attached.

DRAFT TEXT OF AN OPENING STATEMENT OF THE
SECRETARY GENERAL ON ITEM II, FUTURE TASKS
OF THE ALLIANCE, FOR THE COUNCIL MEETING
OF WEDNESDAY, 22nd FEBRUARY 1967

You may wish to say:

You will recall that the Council, at its meeting of 15th February 1967, agreed unanimously to discuss my summing-up of their discussion as a draft decision at today's meeting.

I hope that all Delegations are now in the possession of the necessary instructions to arrive at a decision as suggested in the five points of my summing-up.

If in fact we could do so today, the only other business to be settled would be to agree on a date when the open-ended group of representatives, designated by Governments, could meet under my chairmanship.

May I then first go round the table and ask Delegations whether they are in a position to take a decision today?