

DECLASSIFIED - PUBLIC DISCLOSURE / DÉCLASSIFIÉ - MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH
12th October, 1956

NATO RESTRICTED
DOCUMENT
AC/52-D/195

COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION AND CULTURAL RELATIONS

VISITING PROFESSORSHIPS

Attached are the terms of reference of the Expert Working Group as approved by the Committee at its meeting on 11th October, 1956.

(Signed) LUCILLE M. PEART

Palais de Chaillot,
Paris, XVIe.

COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION AND CULTURAL RELATIONS

VISITING PROFESSORSHIPS

Terms of Reference of the Expert Working Group

On the basis of:

- (a) the paper submitted by the United Kingdom Delegation at the meeting of Heads of Cultural Departments held at the Palais de Chaillot in July(1), and
- (b) the United Kingdom Delegation's subsequent paper tabled at a meeting of the Committee on Information and Cultural Relations(2),

the Expert Working Group is invited to work out details of the proposed scheme and, in particular, to examine the following questions:

- (1) Is the procedure envisaged in the United Kingdom proposal the most appropriate for the purpose; if not what modifications do you suggest?
- (2) Is the timetable proposed in the United Kingdom paper practicable from the point of view of the "releasing university"?
- (3) What stipends and expenses do you recommend per semester for a visiting professor going (a) from Europe to North America; (b) from North America to Europe; (c) from one European NATO country to another?
- (4) What number and distribution of the awards mentioned above do you recommend (a) for the initial year; (b) as a basis for a continuing scheme? What will be estimated overall cost in each case?
- (5) Should visiting professorships carry the obligation to give lectures? How could such lectures be made to fit in with the syllabus of examinations for which students are normally working? Should the scope of such lectures by NATO visiting professors be defined in general terms, e.g. "lectures on any questions relating to the rôle or purposes of the Atlantic Alliance"?
- (6) Should the universities be encouraged to put forward the names of more than one professor in whom they are interested in order that awards are not rendered useless by the inability of the first choice to accept the invitation?

1) AC/52(CE)D/3
2) AC/52-D/186

(7) Would it be desirable for invitations to be extended to all universities in a particular country or only to a few in any given year?

2. The Working Group is also invited to prepare the necessary draft letters to be sent by the Secretariat to national authorities.

3. Finally, the Working Group is invited to report its findings and recommendations to the Committee on Information and Cultural Relations.